

# Idealised simulations of the seasonal evolution of the middle atmosphere for a range of planetary-wave amplitudes in the lower stratosphere.

M. FISHER<sup>1</sup>, B. LAWRENCE<sup>2</sup>, A. O'NEILL<sup>1</sup>, V. POPE<sup>3</sup>

## 1 INTRODUCTION

A first look at the seasonal evolution of the extra-tropical middle atmosphere shows that it is mainly driven by solar radiative heating. In the lower and middle stratosphere this results in an annual cycle which oscillates between a cold winter pole surrounded by a strong westerly vortex with a steep meridional gradient in strength and a warm summer pole surrounded by weaker easterlies. However, more detailed examination of the evolution reveals that this regular march of winds and temperatures is punctuated in the winter hemisphere by the development of large-scale disturbances. Some examples from the northern hemisphere include Canadian warmings, major mid-winter warmings and final warmings; examples from the southern hemisphere include South Pacific warmings, the so-called travelling wave 2, and final warmings.

These disturbances can be divided into two broad classes: those involving the growth of a quasi-stationary anticyclone (major warmings and final warmings), and those involving the growth of eastward-travelling anticyclones (Canadian warmings, South Pacific warmings and the travelling wave 2). All involve highly nonlinear dynamics and are therefore difficult to understand. Previous workers have advanced various explanations based on particular combinations of the stratospheric state and the presence or absence of specific tropospheric phenomena - generally planetary waves.

In this work, we use a numerical model with a simplified lower boundary condition to study such planetary-scale disturbances. By carrying out a number of seasonal integrations using a range of (time independent) planetary-wave amplitudes at the lower boundary, one can "explore parameter space" under controlled conditions. This approach is essentially a numerical analogue of the sort of laboratory experiments which have already contributed a great deal to our understanding of flow regimes in planetary atmospheres (e.g. Hide and Mason, 1975). This study will concentrate on a synoptic view of the results obtained; other studies will present more conventional diagnostics.

<sup>1</sup>Robert Hooke Institute, Department of Physics, University of Oxford, U.K.

<sup>2</sup>Atmospheric, Oceanic and Planetary Physics, Department of Physics, University of Oxford, U.K.

<sup>3</sup>Hadley Centre for Climate Research, Meteorological Office, Bracknell, U.K.

It will be shown that phenomena reminiscent of many of those described above occur quite naturally in the simple numerical environment chosen. While it is accepted that the results obtained here cannot be compared directly to real atmospheric events, an inescapable conclusion of this work is that (at least synoptically) many of the observed disturbances could occur without prior conditioning of specific tropospheric events.

## 2 DESCRIPTION OF THE NUMERICAL ENVIRONMENT

The UKMO stratosphere-mesosphere model was run through a full annual cycle with the bottom boundary being held to a seasonally varying climatological zonal mean plus a constant amplitude wave one forcing. Simulations with a range of amplitudes were run, in accord with the aforementioned philosophy of exploring parameter space. At the time of writing, some further simulations with wave number two forcing have not yet been examined. In order to simplify our understanding of the results the description which follows is in terms of differences from the wave-free run (rather than from simple zonal or time means) for the weak forcing cases (up to and including 100m) and in terms of the total field for the larger perturbations.

For the range of amplitudes chosen, the model response ranged from linear (in the sense that the response initially scaled linearly with forcing) to highly-nonlinear. However, even in the weakest forcing cases, it is clear that simple ideas of waves propagating on the mean state completely fail to describe the evolution observed.

## 3 SYNOPTIC EVOLUTION

In all the cases of weak forcing (up to 100m geopotential amplitude) at the bottom boundary, the model produced basically the same seasonal evolution. As expected the wave one forcing did not alter the stratospheric mean state during the summer season. However, as autumn progressed the effect of the wave one forcing manifested itself initially with the advent of an anticyclone above and downstream of the maximum positive perturbation. As the circulation of the vortex strengthened, the anticyclone appeared to "lose contact" with the forcing below and was advected cyclonically around the vortex, generally weakening as it moved. As winter progressed, further anticyclones formed, strengthened and then were advected around the vortex. At times up to three such anticyclones were present in various stages of the life cycle. Analysed in terms of perturbations from the zonal mean, such events seemed very similar to the travelling

